

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION CONVINCIONION

COMMISSIONERS

1

2

3

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

BOB STUMP - Chairman GARY PIERCE

BRENDA BURNS ORIGIN

SUSAN BITTER SMITH

2014 JAN 15 P 3: 13

ORIGINAL AZ CORP COMMISSION

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

JAN 1 5 2014

DOCKETED BY

SH

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF TABLE TOP TELEPHONE COMPANY, INC., A NEVADA CORPORATION, FOR A HEARING TO

DETERMINE THE EARNINGS OF THE

COMPANY, THE FAIR VALUE OF THE COMPANY FOR RATEMAKING PURPOSES, AND TO INCREASE RESIDENTIAL RATES AS

NECESSARY TO COMPENSATE FOR THE RATE IMPACTS OF THE FCC'S USF/ICC

TRANSFORMATION ORDER.

DOCKET NO. T-02724A-13-0416

PROCEDURAL ORDER

BY THE COMMISSION:

On December 4, 2013, Table Top Telephone Company, Inc. ("Table Top") filed with the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") pursuant to A.R.S. §40-250 and Arizona Administrative Code ("A.A.C.") R14-2-103 for a determination of its earnings and the fair value of its investment ("Rate Application"). Table Top requested that its residential rates be increased as necessary to compensate for the rate impacts of the Federal Communication Commission's ("FCC") November 18, 2011, USF/ICC Transformation Order ("USF/ICC Order"). Table Top states that it depends on federal high-cost loop support mechanisms, and that to retain federal support, it must increase local area rates to the annual floor as determined by the FCC. At the time of filing the Rate Application, Table Top did not know what the 2014 floor would be, and expected the rate to be announced in early 2014, to be effective June 1, 2014. Because of the short period of time to adjust Table Top's rates to avoid the loss of federal support, which Table Top alleged would be detrimental to ratepayers, Table Top requested that the Commission approve a local residential rate increase of up to \$19.00. Table Top did not file all of the schedules required for a typical rate case under A.C.C. R14-2-103, and requested a waiver of any provisions of this rule not required by the Arizona Constitution and laws.

28

27

. . .

On December 17, 2013, Table Top filed a Time Clock Waiver in order to allow for additional time to determine the best way to process the application.

On January 6, 2014, Table Top filed Schedules that had been inadvertently omitted from the docketed copy of its Rate Application.

In a Special Open Meeting on January 14, 2014, the Commission agreed to the Commission's Utilities Division ("Staff") proposal not to require all of the schedules normally required under A.A.C. R14-2-103 for rate applications in this matter because of the short time frame when new rates must be in effect. The Commission had utilized a similar procedure after the USF/ICC Order was first issued and required an increase in local area rates.

Given the short time frame for processing the Rate Application, it is necessary to establish an expedited process for this matter.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that a Telephonic Procedural Conference for the purpose of setting the matter for hearing and establishing the procedures, including notice, that will govern this proceeding shall commence on January 22, 2014, at 10:00 a.m., or as soon thereafter as practical at the Commission's Tucson offices, Room 222, 400 W. Congress, Tucson, AZ 85750. Parties may call-in on the following number: 1-888-450-5996, Code 457395.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that in the interest of judicial efficiency and economy, the Procedural Conference in this matter will be held concurrently with Procedural Conferences being set for similar requests made by Arizona Telephone Company (Docket No. T-02063A-13-0411), South Central Utah Telephone Association, Inc. (Docket No. T-01923A-13-0428), Copper Valley Telephone, Inc. (Docket No. T-02727A-13-0458), Southwestern Telephone Company (Docket No. T-01072A-13-0412) and Valley Telephone Cooperative, Inc. (Docket No. T-01847A-13-0457).

••

...

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Administrative Law Judge may rescind, alter, amend, 2 or waive any portion of this Procedural Order either by subsequent Procedural Order or by ruling at 3 hearing. DATED this \(\sqrt{} \) day of January, 2014. 4 5 6 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 7 8 9 Copies of the foregoing mailed/delivered 10 this \(\sqrt{\sqrt{\text{day of January, 2014, to:}} \) 11 Craig A. Marks Craig A Marks, PLC 12 10645 N. Tatum Blvd, Ste. 200-676 Phoenix, AZ 85028 Attorney for Table Top Telephone Company, Inc. 13 14 Janice Alward, Chief Counsel Legal Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 15 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007 16 17 Steven M. Olea, Director **Utilities Division** ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 18 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007 19 20 COASH & COASH, INC. COURT REPORTING, VIDEO & VIDEOCONFERENCING 1802 N. 7th Street. 21 Phoenix, AZ 85006 22 23 24 By: Tammy Velarde 25 Assistant to Jane L. Rodda 26 27

28